## Levi-Civita Connection

## Sean Richardson

## Levi-Civita Connection

On a Riemannian manifold, there is a natural choice for which connection to use. Choosing a connection is choosing a sense of acceleration on our manifold. For a Riemannian manifold M, a natural choice is to agree that geodesics have 0 acceleration. Indeed, geodesics are paths that go in a "straight line" without changing velocity. Thus we would like a connection  $\nabla$  such that for any geodesic  $\gamma(t)$  we have  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}\dot{\gamma}(t) = 0$ . If we have  $\gamma(t) = (x^1(t), \dots, x^n(t))$  in local coordinates, this requirement is equivalent to

$$0 = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) \tag{1}$$

$$= \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} (\dot{x}^{j}(t)\partial_{j})$$

$$= \dot{\gamma}(t) (\dot{x}^{j}(t)\partial_{i} + \dot{x}^{j}(t)\nabla \dots \partial_{i}$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$=\dot{\gamma}(t)(\dot{x}^{j}(t))\partial_{j}+\dot{x}^{j}(t)\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}\partial_{j}$$
(3)

$$=\ddot{x}^{j}(t)\partial_{j} + \dot{x}^{j}(t)\nabla_{\dot{x}^{i}\partial_{i}}\partial_{j} \tag{4}$$

$$= (\ddot{x}^k(t) + \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j(t) A^k_{ij}) \partial_k.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

That is, this is equivalent to

$$\ddot{x}^k(t) + \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j(t) A_{ij}^k = 0 \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Thus we want to choose our functions  $A_{ij}^k$  so that all geodesics satisfy the above equation. However, comparing the above equation to the geodesic equation, we realize that this will always be true if we choose  $A_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k$ to be the Christoffel symbols! Therefore, on a Riemannian manifold we should define our connection in coordinates by setting

$$\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k. \tag{C}$$

This definition, however, opens one important question: does this choice of connection rely on the choice of coordinates? The answer is no, which we will prove by later defining this natural connection in a coordinate independent way. The resulting connection is called the "Levi-Civita connection".

Recall the connection for Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\nabla_X Y = X Y^i \partial_i.$$

This Euclidean connection satisfies the product rule

$$\nabla_Z \langle X, Y \rangle = \nabla_X \left( \sum_i X^i Y^i \right) = \sum_i (XZ^i) Y^i + X^i (ZY^i) = \langle \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Z Y \rangle.$$

Additionally, observe that for any vector fields X, Y over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can compute the difference of the Euclidean connection  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$  to be

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = XY^i \partial_i - YX^i \partial_i = (XY^i - YX^i) \partial_i.$$

But this is juts the Lie bracket [X, Y]. That is, the Euclidean connection satisfies the commutator relation

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]. \tag{S}$$

It turns out that for a Riemannian manifold M, the Levi-Civita connection defined in local coordinates by (C) also satisfies the commutator relation (S) as well as the following product rule with respect to the metric g.

$$\nabla_Z \langle X, Y \rangle_g = \langle \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle_g + \langle X, \nabla_Z Y \rangle_g. \tag{M}$$

A connection satisfying (S) is called *symmetric* and a connection satisfying the product rule (M) is said to be *compatible with the metric*. We begin by showing the symmetry which is simply a coordinate computation.

**Prop.** The Levi-Civita connection as defined in coordinates by (C) is symmetric.

Proof. Compute

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = \nabla_{X^i \partial_i} (Y^j \partial_j) - \nabla_{Y^j \partial_i} (X^i \partial_i) \tag{6}$$

$$= X^{i}((\partial_{i}Y^{j})\partial_{j} + Y^{j}\nabla_{\partial_{j}}\partial_{i}) - Y^{j}((\partial_{j}X^{i})\partial_{i} + X^{i}\nabla_{\partial_{i}}\partial_{j})$$
(7)

$$= (XY^{j}\partial_{j} - YX^{i}\partial_{i}) + X^{i}Y^{j}(\nabla_{\partial_{j}}\partial_{i} - \nabla_{\partial_{i}}\partial_{j})\partial_{k}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

$$= [X,Y] + X^{i}Y^{j}(\Gamma^{k}_{ij} - \Gamma^{k}_{ji})\partial_{k}.$$
(9)

Then the result follows from  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k$  which we can see from the definition of Christoffel symbols:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}(\partial_{i}g_{jl} + \partial_{j}g_{li} - \partial_{l}g_{ij})$$

Next we show the Levi-Civita connection as defined in coordinates is compatible with the metric, which follows from a substantially longer coordinate computation.

**Prop.** The Levi-Civita connection as defined in coordinates by (C) is compatible with the metric.

*Proof.* First we expand out the right side of (M).

$$\langle \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Z Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_{Z^k \partial_k} (X^i \partial_i), Y^j \partial_j \rangle + \langle X^i \partial_i, \nabla_{Z^k \partial_k} (Y^j \partial_j) \rangle \tag{10}$$

$$= Z^{k} (Y^{j} \langle \partial_{k} X^{i} \partial_{i} + X^{i} \nabla_{\partial_{k}} \partial_{i}, \partial_{j} \rangle + X^{i} \langle \partial_{i}, \partial_{k} Y^{j} \partial_{j} + Y^{j} \nabla_{\partial_{k}} \partial_{j} \rangle)$$
(11)

$$= Z^{k} (Y^{j} \langle (\partial_{k} X^{l} + X^{i} \Gamma^{l}_{ik}) \partial_{l}, \partial_{j} \rangle + X^{i} \langle \partial_{i}, (\partial_{k} Y^{l} + Y^{j} \Gamma^{l}_{kj}) \partial_{l} \rangle)$$
(12)

$$= Z^{k}Y^{j}(\partial_{k}X^{l} + X^{i}\Gamma^{l}_{ik})g_{lj} + Z^{k}X^{i}(\partial_{k}Y^{l} + Y^{j}\Gamma^{l}_{kj})g_{il}$$

$$\tag{13}$$

$$= Z^{k}(Y^{j}\partial_{k}X^{l}g_{lj} + X^{i}\partial_{k}Y^{l}g_{il}) + Z^{k}X^{i}Y^{j}(\Gamma^{l}_{ik}g_{lj} + \Gamma^{l}_{ki}g_{il}).$$
(14)

Next we expand out the left size of (M).

$$\nabla_Z \langle X, Y \rangle = Z^k \partial_k \langle X^i \partial_i, Y^j \partial_j \rangle = Z^k \partial_k (X^i Y^j g_{ij}) = Z^k (Y^j \partial_k X^i g_{ij} + X^i \partial_k Y^j g_{ij}) + Z^k X^i Y^j \partial_k g_{ij}.$$

Note these expansions are quite similar, and we see that in fact the right and left sides of (M) are equal so long as we can show

$$\partial_k g_{ij} = \Gamma^l_{ik} g_{lj} + \Gamma^l_{kj} g_{il}.$$

Indeed, to show this we use the definition of the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}(\partial_{i}g_{jl} + \partial_{j}g_{li} - \partial_{l}g_{ij})$$

and apply the matrix  $g_{km}$  to both sides to conclude

$$\Gamma^k_{ij}g_{km} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i g_{jm} + \partial_j g_{mi} - \partial_m g_{ij})$$

Thus using the above expression twice we can compute

$$\Gamma^{l}_{ik}g_{lj} + \Gamma^{l}_{kj}g_{il} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{i}g_{kj} + \partial_{k}g_{ji} - \partial_{j}g_{ik}) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{k}g_{ji} + \partial_{j}g_{ik} - \partial_{i}g_{kj}) = \partial_{k}g_{ij}$$

as needed.

It turns out that these two properties – symmetry and metric compatibility – are quite special. In fact, on a Riemannian manifold there will only be one connection that satisfies both properties.

**Prop.** (Fundamental Theorem of Riemannian Geometry). For any Riemannian manifold M, there exists a unique connection  $\nabla$  that is both symmetric and compatible with the metric. This connection is called the *Levi-Civita connection*.

Proof in coordinates. We have already demonstrated existence, for the Levi-Civita connection is symmetric and metric-compatible. To see why an arbitrary symmetric and metric-compatible connection  $\nabla$  must be the Levi-Civita connection, we work locally in coordinates  $(x^i)$  and write  $\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j = A_{ij}^k$ . By the same computation we performed to show symmetry of the Levi-Civita connection, we see the symmetry of  $\nabla$  is equivalent to  $A_{ij}^k = A_{ji}^k$ . Similarly, we see  $\nabla$  is compatible with the metric exactly when

$$\partial_k g_{ij} = A^l_{ik} g_{lj} + A^l_{kj} g_{il}$$

by the corresponding computation for the Levi-Civita connection; this expression is often called the *first* Christoffel identity. These two requirements give a linear system of  $\frac{1}{2}n^2(n+1)$  equations with the same amount of unknowns. The trick to solve this system is to permute the first Christoffel identity to get cancellation and solve for the sum

$$\partial_i g_{jl} + \partial_j g_{il} - \partial_l g_{ij} = (A^p_{ij} g_{pl} + A^p_{il} g_{jp}) + (A^p_{ji} g_{pl} + A^p_{jl} g_{ip}) - (A^p_{li} g_{pj} + A^p_{lj} g_{ip}) = 2A^p_{ij} g_{pl}.$$
(15)

Then applying the inverse matrix  $g^{kl}$  we recover the definition of the Christoffel symbols:

$$A_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}(\partial_{i}g_{jl} + \partial_{j}g_{il} - \partial_{l}g_{ij}).$$

*Proof without coordinates.* Existence follows from the Levi-Civita connection. For uniqueness, suppose  $\nabla$  is a symmetric and metric-compatible connection and use both properties to write

$$X\langle Y, Z\rangle_g = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z\rangle_g + \langle Y, \nabla_X Z\rangle_g = \langle \nabla_X Y, Z\rangle_g + \langle Y, \nabla_Z X\rangle_g + \langle Y, [X, Z]\rangle_g.$$
(16)

We will use a similar trick as the proof in coordinates to find an expression for  $\nabla$ . By cyclically permuting the above, we get two more identities:

$$Y\langle Z, X\rangle_g = \langle \nabla_Y Z, X\rangle_g + \langle Z, \nabla_Y X\rangle_g = \langle \nabla_Y Z, X\rangle_g + \langle Z, \nabla_X Y\rangle_g + \langle Z, [Y, X]\rangle_g$$
(17)

$$Z\langle X,Y\rangle_g = \langle \nabla_Z X,Y\rangle_g + \langle X,\nabla_Z Y\rangle_g = \langle \nabla_Z X,Y\rangle_g + \langle X,\nabla_Y Z\rangle_g + \langle X,[Z,Y]\rangle_g.$$
(18)

Now adding the first two equations and subtracting the third gives the cancellation

$$X\langle Y, Z\rangle_g + Y\langle Z, X\rangle_g - Z\langle X, Y\rangle_g = 2\langle \nabla_X Y, Z\rangle_g + \langle Y, [X, Z]\rangle_g + \langle Z, [Y, X]\rangle_g - \langle X, [Z, Y]\rangle_g.$$
(19)

Thus we can solve for  $\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle_q$  to find

$$\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle_g = \frac{1}{2} (X \langle Y, Z \rangle_g + Y \langle Z, X \rangle_g - Z \langle X, Y \rangle_g - \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle_g - \langle Z, [Y, X] \rangle_g + \langle X, [Z, Y] \rangle_g).$$
(20)

which uniquely determines the connection  $\nabla$ . The above is thus a coordinate-invariant expression for the Levi-Civita connection and is called *Koszul's formula*.